



The Planning Inspectorate

Application by Ørsted Hornsea Project four Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm

Hearing Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 2: Onshore Environmental Matters that was held virtually on Tuesday 26 April 2022

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	Applicant to review the use of industry standard colour references or other mechanism to provide certainty over the proposed colours.	Applicant	Deadline (D)4
2	Applicant to provide some further examples of more industrial type buildings such as Hornsea 2 where adaptive banding has been used.	Applicant	D4
3	To provide a response or signpost where it can be found if already submitted to ExQ1 question DGN.1.4 [PD-006].	East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC)	D4
4	To respond as to whether they consider that the Proposed Development would benefit from a design review process.	ERYC	D4
5	If ERYC consider that the Proposed Development would benefit from a Design Review the Examining Authority (ExA) to provide further detail as to what is meant by this.	ExA	D5
6	To review whether additional visualisations can be provided showing High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) and High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) scenarios, written response to be provided at D4 but acknowledge that visualisation if to be provided may need to be submitted at later date.	Applicant	D4
7	The Applicant's Design Vision Statement [APP-048] includes Figures 5 and 6 on page 017 which depict indicative site layouts for HVDC and HVAC options. Amend the document so that these figures are correctly labelled.	Applicant	Next time document updated
8	To check Viewpoint 6 in the context of the wind turbine at Poplar Farm.	Applicant	D4

Action	Description	Action by	When
9	Drafting of Requirement 12 to be reviewed in relation to securing fencing.	Applicant	D4
10	To provide a written response on outstanding concerns regarding photomontages in the Statement of Common Ground once reviewed the response to action point 8.	ERYC	D5
11	Update on timescales regarding the potential designation of the Yorkshire Wolds as an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	Environment Agency (EA) / Natural England (NE)	D4
12	Confirm whether now satisfied that the amended wording proposed to the draft Development Consent Order submitted at Deadline 3 [REP3-006] and amendments to the outline Landscape Management Plan [REP3-009] would secure the retention, management and maintenance of the landscaping scheme for the lifetime of project?	ERYC	D4
18	Review the wording of Requirement 9 as submitted at D3.	ERYC	D4
19	Resubmit Table 2 of the Traffic and Transport Technical Report [APP-125] with correct figures for month 6 onwards.	Applicant	D4
20	To provide further details on traffic movements throughout the day in and around the proposed Primary Logistics Compound (PLC) at Lockington.	Applicant	D5
21	Provide a plan showing the widths of Station Road East and West at approximately 75 metres in from the respective junction with A164, ie at the approximate distance where the access to the PLC would be taken.	Applicant	D4
22	Confirm current length of Skidby Footpath 16 that would need to be diverted, ie from Points 25c to 25d on the Public Rights of Way Plan [APP-215] and the best and worst case lengths of diversion that would be needed.	Applicant	D4
23	Confirm that the proposed protection arrangements for the Beverley Sanctuary Limit Stone during the construction stage of the Proposed	Historic England / ERYC	D4

Action	Description	Action by	When
	Development as set out in the Applicant's Written Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology [REP3-011 and 012] would be effective and are reasonable.		
24	To advise whether it is satisfied with the Applicant's approach regarding Biodiversity Net Gain provision.	ERYC	D4
25	Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)[REP1-027] to be amended to include a commitment that there would be no bridge crossings over main rivers without the prior agreement of the EA.	Applicant	D4
26	Update on discussions regarding impacts on Watton Beck and confirmation regarding likely timescales for reaching agreement on this matter.	Applicant / EA	D4
27	Respond to respond to Mr and Mrs Taylor's D3 comments [REP3-059].	Applicant	D4
28	NE to confirm if it is now content to accept that soil sampling and other tactical measures would be secured under the CoCP [REP1-027] to establish soil quality after reinstatement; Applicant to liaise with NE to clarify why its issue log remains amber on this point.	Applicant / NE	D4
29	Submit schedule/ plan of the breakdown of the computation of permanent loss or downgrading for more than five years of Best and Most Versatile land for the Proposed Development.	Applicant	D4
30	Explore and submit evidence from past analogous projects of the proportion of land reinstatement that is likely to have soil quality downgraded from Grade 3A to 3B on reinstatement after construction, given good construction management practices.	Applicant	D4